

Roecliffe and Westwick PC Neighbourhood Plan

Meeting held 1600hrs. 19th Jan 2018.

Present: Jim Bolland, Richard Booth, Jo Mitchell, John Newberry, Dave Siswick.
Apologies: Scott Green.

The purpose of the meeting was to the report issued by David Gluck and to allocate action, where possible. The report is copied below for reference.

We have reviewed the draft Plan and have the following suggestions:

- * To improve the maps, could you please ask HBC for a 1:5000 map of the area with Roecliffe's Neighbourhood Area marked on it? We can use this to create a Policies Map.
- * Page 26 - it would be worth considering adding a "key views" supporting document to the evidence base, (or possibly adding a new section in the Plan). If you agree, we would need to know key long and short range views in and out of the parish, with a photo of each view (taken from eye level) as well identifying where they are. This can be done by marking an arrow on a map from the view point and indicating which direction the view goes. This can go some way to avoiding unwanted or unsightly development.
- * Page 32 - 10.A.2 - need more evidence to support the policy. Could you look at examples of poor extension design and take a photo of the extension(s), and/or look at the associated planning application and include a description of the impact of the extension(s) on the streetscape.
- * Could add a new policy regarding "non designated local heritage assets". I've attached a template which should be completed for each local asset that is not covered by existing legislation. More information which might help you decide whether an assets should be a non designated local heritage asset in the Plan can be found here:
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/conserving-and-enhancing-the-historic-environment#non-designated-heritage-assets>
An example might be your lovely "YRCC Best kept village" sign.
- * We've had a look at your Neighbourhood Area boundary and we think that The Three Arrows site might be outside the boundary. Could you please check and confirm? If it is outside the boundary, then this section would need to be either reworded or removed.
- * I've attached a community facilities assessment framework template. Could you please complete this for each local facility that you wish to protect?
- * Policy B5 (page 44) - needs more evidence for the policy. Do you have a Housing Market Assessment or a Local Housing Needs Assessment which shows the demand for different types of housing in the parish? Also, is there anything in the survey to suggest the demand for housing types?
- * P52 - please ask NYCC for the definitive map (Public Rights Of Way map) with the Neighbourhood Area marked on it?
- * I've attached a Local Green Spaces Assessment Framework. Please complete for each local green space. We also need the boundary of each LGS marked clearly on a map. For example, you could do this on google maps.
- * P57 - you might want to consider marking the industrial area as an industrial zone in the Plan. This would developed as a new policy and would aim to prevent overspill of development outside the industrial zone.
- * P58/58 - do you have any evidence to back up the policy re poor

mobile phone coverage and broadband speeds in the parish?

* New policy - you could consider adding a Green Infrastructure section to the Plan. We could write a section for you - using evidence from Natural England, which you could cross check against the HBC local plan.

1. Maps.

We are in possession of a 1:5000 hard copy map, and the electronic version is held on my Macbook.

2. Key Views

3. Poor extension design.

4. Non designated local heritage assets.

John and Richard have undertaken to survey the village on foot , noting and photographing examples of all three categories above, as well as exploring archives and references.

5. Devil's Arrows.

There is a question asking whether the Arrows are actually within the R & W boundary; in fact I have confirmed that they are not.

6. Community Facilities.

The following were suggested: School, church, Green, Common, phone box, defibrillator, benches, and notice boards, There may be further items.

7. Local housing needs assessment.

Richard volunteered to investigate if this could be found on HBC's website.

8. P.R.O.W Map.

I was nominated to obtain this map and investigate if/how it can be incorporated into our large scale map of the area.

9. Local green spaces assessment.

Richard has some electronic records relevant to this. It was felt that in addition to the green and the common, the area around and including the anglers' pond, and the fishing pond should be afforded any protection available. It was felt that Scott might have comments to add to this and the matter is left open.

10. Industrial Area. It is strongly felt that the industrial area should be defined by boundary. This task has not yet been allocated.

11. Broadband speed. The only evidence so far has been that submitted by Scott. Jim volunteered to investigate further with particular concern regarding Westwick (rumoured to be very poor), and the Business Park (rumoured to be very good).

12. Green Infrastructure. We are not yet clear of the precise meaning of this suggestion but feel that it would not have been made if our advisors did not feel it to be something we should include.

Dave Siswick.